In this issue you will find articles examining:

- Career Development
- Change Management
- Cultural Intervention
- Leadership Development
- Knowledge Management
- Organization Capability/Effectiveness
- Organization Design/Transformation
- Talent Management
- Team Building/Effectiveness

Featuring best internal OD practices from the following organizations around the globe including Europe, Asia and Africa:

- Beaumont Hospitals
- BP Castrol Marine
- Canadian Tire Corporation
- Chevron
- Corning
- Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.
- Honeywell
- Janssen Pharmaceuticals
- Johnson & Johnson Health Care Systems, Inc.
- McDonald's
- Mkombozi Centre for Street Children
- Standard Chartered Bank
- Takeda Pharmaceuticals
- The Walt Disney Company (Asia Pacific) Limited
- United States Air Force
- Yale New Haven Health System
# Table of Contents

## From the Editor

Best Global Practices in Internal Organizational Development .................................................. 5  
*Thiet (Ted) K. Nguyen, Johnson & Johnson*

## Articles

### CHANGE MANAGEMENT AND CULTURAL INTERVENTION

A Time of Transition: Lessons in Global OD from a Successful Japanese Firm .......................... 13  
*Robert C. Kjar, Takeda Pharmaceuticals*

U.S. Air Force Wingman Culture: A Springboard for Organizational Development .................. 19  
*Frank Budd, Colonel, USAF, Ph. D., Kirtland U.S. Air Force Base, NM*

Using Conceptual Learning Maps and Structured Dialogue to Facilitate Change at a Large Health System .................................................. 25  
*Jean Ann Larson, Beaumont Hospitals*

### LEADERSHIP AND CAREER DEVELOPMENT

A Systemic Approach to Career Development at McDonald's ...................................................... 33  
*Linda Rasins, Linda Rasins Consulting; Irene Franze, Bank of America; Steve Russell, McDonald’s North America*

Developing Your Talent to the Next Level: Five Best Practices for Leadership Development ........ 39  
*Deborah Miller, Canadian Tire Corporation, Limited, Ontario, Canada;  
  Sergio Desmarais, University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada*

Fulfilling the Promise of Career Development: Getting to the “Heart” of the Matter .................. 47  
*Frans van de Ven, Janssen Pharmaceutica in Beerse, Belgium, A Johnson & Johnson Company*

High Impact Leadership Development ......................................................................................... 53  
*Michael E. Pepe, Ph.D., Yale New Haven Health System*

### KNOWLEDGE/TALENT MANAGEMENT

Human Capital Measurement: From Insight to Action ................................................................. 61  
*Debbie Whitaker, Standard Chartered Bank; Laura Wilson, Standard Chartered Bank*

Lessons Learned About Lessons Learned .................................................................................... 67  
*Jerry Wellman, Honeywell International*

### ORGANIZATION CAPABILITY/EFFECTIVENESS

Business Driven Action Learning: A Powerful Tool for Building World-class Entrepreneurial Business Leaders .................................................. 77  
*Jane Horan, The Walt Disney Company (Asia Pacific) Limited*

Organization Development: Acting as One with the Business – Best Practices at Chevron Corporation ................................................................. 83  
*Oleen Miranda-Stone, Ph.D.; Michael C. Leary, Chevron*

The Annual HR Strategic Planning Process: Design and Facilitation Lessons from Corning Incorporated Human Resources ........................................ 89  
*Debbie Bennett, Corning, Inc.; Matthew Brush, Corning, Inc.*
ORGANIZATION DESIGN/TRANSFORMATION

Using OD to Enhance Shareholder Value: Delivering Business Results in BP Castrol Marine ............................................ 99
David Gilmour, Castrol Marine, BP plc; Anne Radford, Appreciative Inquiry Practitioner Journal

Organizational Design for a Supply Chain Transformation: Best Practice at Johnson & Johnson Health Care Systems Inc . . 105
Lizbeth Yacovone, Johnson & Johnson Health Care Systems Inc.

Toolbox or Transformation: Is Organizational Development the Key to Unlocking the Potential of
Civil Society Organizations in East Africa? ............................................ 113
Kate McAlpine, Mkombozi Centre for Street Children

TEAM BUILDING/EFFECTIVENESS

A Structured, Facilitated Team Approach to Innovation ............................................ 121
Drew Boyd, Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc., A Johnson & Johnson Company

Academic Implications

Analysis of Best Practices of Internal Consulting ............................................ 125
Miriam Y. Lacey, Ph.D., Graziadio School of Business and Management, Pepperdine University;
Teri C. Tomkins, Ph.D., Graziadio School of Business and Management, Pepperdine University

The OD Journal special Edition Team Bios ............................................ 134

COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS

Calendar of Events ............................................ 153
Advertising Guidelines for O.D. Journal ............................................ 154
Subscription Information ............................................ 157
In Appreciation ............................................ 158
Letter from the Editor:

**Best Global Practices in Internal Organization Development**

by Thiet (Ted) K. Nguyen, Johnson & Johnson

I recently returned from a business trip to the Asia Pacific Region where I visited Japan, China, India, Singapore, Thailand, and Vietnam. Several weeks prior to this trip, I was in Europe visiting Germany, Switzerland, Belgium, and the UK supporting the EMEA region talent management team with strategic projects. During my global business travels in the last several years, whether to emerging markets like Russia, Turkey, China, India and Vietnam or to established markets like the UK, Germany, Spain, Italy and Japan, I have come to appreciate the value our profession brings to drive change while enhancing organizational growth and vitality.

From my perspective, I believe our profession is becoming localized in this global marketplace. Today, Western consultants are traveling to the East. Tomorrow, we may see Eastern consultants traveling West as the need for Western consultants traveling East diminishes. Today, we may export knowledge and talent from developed markets to help grow developing markets. Tomorrow, we may import talents from the emerging markets to help turnaround established markets. The global marketplace is changing rapidly, and I believe we need to continually upgrade our skills and reinvent our knowledge to remain vital as a profession.

By publishing this global Special Edition, we expect to achieve our goal to help drive change and grow our profession. This Special Edition will benefit the HR/OD community in several ways:

- **Academic community** – The academic community will find the content of these contributions of value to raise the awareness of current best internal practices. Program directors can be informed and encouraged to strengthen their curriculums and research directions. Graduate students may use this edition to leverage their classroom experience, as they prepare to enter the OD profession and compete for opportunities in the global market place.

- **Current practitioners** – Both internal and external practitioners can use this knowledge to guide and grow their practice areas, enhance their skills, and strengthen their core competencies, by learning from other OD professionals.

- **Our clients and business partners** – Potential and existing clients can be better informed of the capabilities OD professional can bring to enhance employee engagement and organizational growth and vitality.

Since the May 2007 publication of the first of a three-volume set of the global Special Edition, we have received a number of congratulatory phone calls and emails from academics and practitioners. We have also received requests for copies from colleagues worldwide. Given the overwhelming interest level to the premier global Special Edition, our printer agreed to a second printing within two weeks of the initial publication. We appreciate your warm thoughts and forgiveness of any errors or omissions you may have found while reading the last edition.

Content like this has never been captured or disseminated because internal practitioners tend not to have the luxury of time to write. This is the first time many of these authors took the time to document their work, secure the support of
their company to release the information, and share their internal efforts with all who are interested. We applaud all our authors for their trust in us, and their willingness to provide working papers without the benefit of professional editors. What readers will experience in this global Special Edition is truly the authentic voices of internal practitioners worldwide who share their stories from a place of caring and eagerness to advance the field of organization development.

While this series is titled a best internal OD practice edition, no one associated with its production has judged or evaluated “a best global practice”. Rather, authors were encouraged to share what they perceived to be a best practice within their organization, whether that organization is a start-up company in India, a non-profit organization in the USA, an energy company in Africa, or a hi-tech company in China. We also chose not to judge whether an article fits the definition of organization development, since there are variations among the definitions of OD. We recognized, too, that OD is practiced differently across geographies, countries, sectors, industries, organizations, groups and contexts.

To share additional insights into their workplaces, many authors have generously provided a one-page reflection outlining their working environment, the benefits of the intervention as described in their paper, and finally, to share their take on the experience. In some articles, the reflection page includes one or two brief testimonials from their business partners, internal clients, and/or others who were directly affected by the interventions.

This second global Special Edition is the collaborative labor of love of 20+ authors/co-authors and an all-volunteer team of 105 practitioners worldwide who have invested thousands of hours during the last year to bring this publication from concept to reality. Together, we have become actively engaged because of our passion and commitment to enhance the capabilities and reputation of our profession. Collectively, we share the common goal of advancing the field of organization development by strengthening the internal body of practice literature.

We hope you will enjoy reading this global Special Edition. Look for the final volume in November 2007 when we will bring you the remaining 25 articles. As always, we welcome your feedback to help us continually improve.

Thank you.

Ted Nguyen
New Brunswick, New Jersey
July 2007
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A Structured, Facilitated Team Approach to Innovation

Drew Boyd, Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc., A Johnson & Johnson Company

Abstract

Johnson & Johnson (Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc., division) has used a method of systematic inventive thinking to create new organic growth opportunities and efficiencies. The outcomes of fifty facilitated team workshops using this method reflect successful efforts to solve a range of issues: creating new products, improving products, developing new marketing strategies, building brands, creating customer solutions, and improving organizational process. This paper reviews our experience with this approach over a period of four years beginning in July 2002.

Introduction

Innovation is a crucial source of both organic growth opportunities and efficiencies for companies. It leads to new products, effective strategies, improved processes, and fresh organizational designs. However, innovation is challenging partly because of behaviors and attitudes toward innovation in relation to one's status in the organization. A facilitated, systematic, team approach to innovation can overcome human behavior challenges and make innovation more predictable.

Why Innovation is Difficult in Organizations

There are several well-researched reasons why innovation may be stifled within an organization. Take brainstorming, for example. Brainstorming may be the most overused and underperforming tool in business. Introduced in the 1950s, Osborne defined brainstorming as a group process to improve ideation. The premise was that to get good ideas, one must get a lot of ideas (Osborne, 1957). However, study after study has shown that groups generating ideas using traditional brainstorming are no more effective than individuals working alone (Isaksen, 1998; Paulus, Larey & Ortega, 1995; Mullen & Salas, 1991).

Even if people knew how to systematically and routinely innovate beyond brainstorming, there are other challenges. They may resist trying for fear of failure (Lee, Edmondson & Woreline, 2004). Status-conscious employees often keep their good ideas to themselves, afraid to test them in the workplace, fearing embarrassment and loss of status if their ideas fail.

Another barrier is that even when people generate novel ideas for the good of the organization, their colleagues may see these ideas as tainted. Acknowledging a superior idea from a colleague implies deference to their internal rival and devalues their own status and distinctiveness within the organization (Blau, 1955; Lee, 1997; Menon & Pfeffer, 2003; Sutton & Hargadon, 1996).

Finally, employees may resist sharing their best ideas for fear of colleagues stealing or “free-riding” on that idea (Williams, Harkins & Lattane, 1981; Kerr & Bruun, 1983; Harkins & Petty, 1982). People may harbor ideas waiting for the ideal time to reveal them in a way that limits their colleagues from taking credit.

How do we overcome these conundrums? We believe that a systematic technique to innovation conquers these challenges and increases inventive group thinking across a range of business issues and activities.

Templates of Innovation

A method used by Johnson & Johnson is called systematic inventive thinking (Goldenberg, Horowitz, Levav & Mazursky, 2003; Goldenberg & Mazursky, 2002). Systematic inventive thinking is a set of tools used in a facilitated team environment to generate predictable, progressive ideas. This innovation process uses templates to help regulate individual thinking and channel the ideation process in a structured way that overcomes the randomness of brainstorming.

The five templates of innovation include: Subtraction, Task Unification, Multiplication, Attribute Dependency,
and Division. They were developed by recognizing the same consistent pattern over many products so that the pattern could be applied in a way to create new innovative products. The templates are recognizable and identifiable for almost any type of product. The method grew out of research by the Russian engineer Genrich Altshuller who spent his professional life working to formalize the creative process (Goldenberg et al., 2003).

Briefly, the method works by taking a product, concept, situation, service, process, or other seed construct, and breaking it into its component parts or attributes. The templates manipulate the components or variables to create new-to-the-world constructs that the innovator must then find a valuable use (Finke, Ward & Smith, 1992). This notion of taking the solution and finding a problem that it can solve is called “Function Follows Form,” and it is at the heart of the systematic inventive thinking method.

One example of a template is Subtraction. The pattern of innovation for Subtraction is that something has been removed from a product or service so as to create a new function or benefit for that product or service. Consider a pattern in these four items: contact lenses, an exercise bicycle, powdered soup, and an automatic teller machine (ATM). The contact lens has had the frame of a regular pair of glasses removed. The exercise bicycle has had the rear wheel removed. A package of powdered soup has had the water removed. And the ATM has had the bank employee removed. In each case, taking something away created a new innovative use or benefit.

**Team Innovation**

At Johnson & Johnson (Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc., division), we have used all of the templates in facilitated team workshops to create new organic growth opportunities and efficiencies. Workshops range from four hours to five days in length. Typically teams have twelve to fifteen members with diverse backgrounds: by business function, by market/geography, and by gender. The actual makeup of the team depends on the business focus and the purpose of innovating, such as growth, competitiveness, efficiency, organizational design, etc. A sampling of workshop participants innovating new products may include the following: three or four marketers from different global regions; three engineers representing different areas, such as design, mechanical, and manufacturing; three clinicians, such as a nurse, surgeon and doctor of veterinary medicine; an industrial designer; a field sales representative; a customer call center representative; a customer; and possibly external partners, such as an advertising agency or consultant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEMATIC INVENTIVE THINKING TOOL</th>
<th>OUTCOME IDEAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Subtraction                        | • Virtual tours of a marquee training facility that allow customers to experience it without having to travel  
• A medical device that uses suction to hold tissue to the device so that it can function without having to pierce the tissue first |
| Task Unification                   | • Operating room equipment that uses backup power from other equipment  
• A medical device that injects cool air to the tissue to reduce blood loss  
• An alliance of medical/hospital supply companies that can offer a wider, more competitive product offering |
| Multiplication                     | • Needle that dissolves in the body harmlessly and painlessly after use  
• For training, offer three levels of testing – easy, medium, and hard – and allow trainees to select the level they wish to take; provide higher level incentives based on achievement |
| Attribute Dependency               | • A medical device that adapts to the tissue type it touches  
• A surgical device that curves once inside the body due to increase in temperature, making it more maneuverable  
• A surgical procedure that can be adjusted later in life as the body changes  
• A product branding system that changes or disappears if product tampering occurs |
| Division                           | • A complex operating room machine broken into smaller modular components that can be moved from OR to OR and that can communicate with each other |

Table 1. Outcomes of systematic inventive thinking
Outcomes of Systematic Inventive Thinking

Systematic inventive thinking is counterintuitive, elegant, and highly productive based on our experience. Table 1 illustrates some of our results:

Why Templates Work

To overcome the four challenges of: (1) how to innovate, (2) fear of failure, (3) withholding ideas, and (4) rejecting ideas from colleagues, team innovation workshops are facilitated by an external consultant specializing in the training and use of these templates (Goldenberg et al., 2003). The workshops are tightly structured to address the challenges of human behavior and attitudes towards innovation and their personal organizational status.

Participants are led through a series of exercises using everyday, common products to demonstrate that people can systematically innovate on command. Participants learn how each template is applied to a problem, product, or situation to give many different original ideas in that space. The templates, by their nature, specify a rigorous course of thinking that participants are expected to follow exactly. While the templates are not particularly difficult to learn, the training is demanding so that teams can execute each pattern in a routine way. At the end of the training, people no longer have the excuse that they do not know how to innovate.

In the group workshop setting, people trade in their fear of failure at innovating for a fear of not innovating. Skillful facilitation lets each person have the spotlight at regular intervals so that the pressure to perform and please the group is strong but not overbearing. The facilitation creates a level innovating field so that all participants have the same resources, tools, insights, and opportunities to contribute novel ideas. While it may be true that some participants are judgmental of ideas and the people who generated them, this dynamic does not seem strong enough to overcome the productive nature of the templates when properly facilitated. The templates make people innovate in a structured way regardless of their fear of peer judgment.

The facilitated approach uses techniques that would seem to stifle innovation when in fact they accelerate innovation. One such technique is the Principle of Constraints (Goldenberg et al, 2003) as a way to overcome the tendency of people to filter their ideas or other self-serving behavior as they are generating ideas.

Participants work in small teams, often in pairs, where they are given specific assignments to generate new ideas in a matter of a few minutes. Each partner has to participate in the assignment which creates a strong collegial pressure to perform.

With these constraints, it appears that people place a premium on getting the idea out of their head and over to their partner first rather than pre-judge the merits of the idea and the value to their personal status for having thought of it. Working in pairs seems to create a sense of accountability and transparency. Once the idea is out on the table, each partner gives some sense of oversight or verification that the idea has been shared with the larger group. A participant may think afterward that one of his or her ideas is brilliant and thus too good to share with the group, but it is too late. The idea has been captured for the larger group to consider.

The systematic inventive thinking method produces several dozens to several hundreds of ideas depending on the amount of time dedicated to the activity. Skillful facilitation is required again to help overcome the problem of peer acceptance of those ideas.

First, the facilitators create an environment of non-attachment so that no one individual is associated with a specific idea. Because the idea was generated in a small team of two or three, and because the moment of truth was born out of a stepwise contribution of their insights and notions during the mini-exercises, it is often hard to distinguish who actually could be credited with generating one specific idea. Idea anonymity reduces the internal competitive threat among colleagues and makes ideas no longer tainted. Participants come to recognize idea contribution as process output.

Second, the facilitation process emphasizes only newly-created ideas rather than ideas participants had before coming to the workshop. This inhibits the problem of people selling their pet ideas to their peers, which are usually ideas that the peers have already rejected.

Third, the facilitators lead the larger team to develop a specific set of objective, weighted criteria to judge each of the new ideas generated in the workshop. Diverse groups bring the value of diverse thinking but also the added cost of having to converge on a set of guiding principles.

With these criteria in place in the form of a linear weighted model, ideas are allowed to rise to the top without the stigma of who generated them or who judged them. Internal competition among peer rivals is minimized allowing for a more objective evaluation of ideas. Thoughtful facilitation seems to bring about this alignment much more efficiently than what internal groups can do left on their own.

Conclusion

Team Innovation is working successfully at Johnson & Johnson (Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc., division). The facilitated, systematic team approach to using templates helps people (1) innovate on command, (2) overcome their fear of innovation failure, (3) share their ideas with a diverse group of colleagues, and (4) be more accepting
of ideas from internal colleagues. This technique also enhances innovation outcomes, yielding a predictable stream of organically derived innovation across a business.
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Author’s Reflection

My function is to increase marketing competency and fluency throughout the organization. I report to the Vice President of Marketing. This reporting relationship gives me line of sight to issues our associates face in creating and implementing innovative marketing strategy.

With a systematic approach to innovation, my greatest challenge was convincing people that innovation could be trained and routinized. A second challenge was to get people to realize innovation is not limited to the engineering team. Each individual has a need to generate new ideas continuously.

To overcome these challenges, I proposed a pilot innovation session and sought support from more than one senior-level sponsor. Multiple sponsors spread the financial risk associated with the pilot so that no one sponsor would bear the full loss if it failed. If the pilot was successful, the approach would gain more acceptance and alignment.

The pilot was a success. It gave me the reference point needed to spread systematic innovation to other parts of the organization. Word of mouth and personal selling made people realize that they needed to try the innovation method or risk falling behind other franchises.

In a culture dominated by portfolio thinking, teams compete for investment resources not just on the merits of their current business prospects but also on future prospects. This approach shows teams that systematic innovation enables them to create an exciting pipeline of new product ideas and therefore to compete more effectively for internal resources.
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